

THE 2-ADIC VALUATION OF STIRLING NUMBERS OF THE SECOND KIND

SHAOFANG HONG, JIANRONG ZHAO, AND WEI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the 2-adic valuation of the Stirling numbers $S(n, k)$ of the second kind. We show that $v_2(S(2^n + 1, k + 1)) = s_2(n) - 1$ for any positive integer n , where $s_2(n)$ is the sum of binary digits of n . This confirms a conjecture of Amdeberhan, Manna and Moll. We show also that $v_2(S(4i, 5)) = v_2(S(4i + 3, 5))$ if and only if $i \not\equiv 7 \pmod{32}$. This proves another conjecture of Amdeberhan, Manna and Moll.

1. INTRODUCTION

Divisibility properties of integer sequences have long been objects of interest in number theory. p -Adic valuation is the modern language of divisibility. Given a prime p and a positive integer m , there exist unique integers a and n , with a not divisible by p and $n \geq 0$, such that $m = ap^n$. The number n is called the p -adic valuation of m , denoted by $n = v_p(m)$. Stirling numbers are common topics in number theory and combinatorics. Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of natural numbers. The *Stirling numbers of the first kind*, denoted by $s(n, k)$ (with a lower-case "s") count the number of permutations of n elements with k disjoint cycles. The Stirling numbers of the second kind $S(n, k)$ (with a capital "S") is defined for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and positive integer $k \leq n$ as the number of ways to partition a set of n elements into exactly k nonempty subsets. We can characterize the Stirling numbers of the first kind and the Stirling numbers of the second kind by

$$(x)_n = \sum_{k=0}^n s(n, k)x^k \text{ and } x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n S(n, k)(x)_k$$

respectively, where $(x)_n$ is the falling factorial $(x)_n = x(x-1)(x-2)\dots(x-n+1)$. The Stirling numbers of the first and second kind can be considered to be inverses of one another:

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\max(j,k)} s(l, j) \cdot S(k, l) = \delta_{jk} \text{ and } \sum_{l=0}^{\max(j,k)} S(l, j) \cdot s(k, l) = \delta_{jk},$$

where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker delta. See [2]-[5] and [8] for some results on this topic.

Recently, Amdeberhan, Manna and Moll [1] studied the 2-adic valuation of Stirling numbers of second kind. Actually, they computed the 2-adic valuation $v_2(S(n, k))$ for $k \leq 4$. Furthermore, they found that the 2-adic valuation of the Stirling numbers of second kind of order 5 is the first nontrivial case. In fact, they showed that $v_2(S(4n+1, 5)) = v_2(S(4n+2, 5)) = 0$ for all natural numbers n . They also observed

Date: July 20, 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11B73, 11A07.

Key words and phrases. 2-adic valuation, Stirling number of the second kind, partition .

The research of Hong was supported partially by Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University Grant # NCET-06-0785.

that $v_2(S(4n, 5)) = v_2(S(4n + 3, 5))$ for most indices. Consequently they proposed a conjecture describing those indices n such that $v_2(S(4n, 5)) \neq v_2(S(4n + 3, 5))$. This conjecture can be stated as follows:

CONJECTURE 1.1. [1] $v_2(S(4n, 5)) \neq v_2(S(4n + 3, 5))$ if and only if $n \in \{32j + 7 : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

On the other hand, Lengyel [6] conjectured, and De Wannemacker [7] proved, a special case of the 2-adic valuation of $S(n, k)$:

$$v_2(S(2^n, k)) = s_2(k) - 1,$$

independently of n , where $s_2(k)$ means the base 2 digital sum of k . In [1], Amdeberhan, Manna and Moll also posed the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 1.2. [1] For all k and $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$, we have

$$v_2(S(2^n + 1, k + 1)) = s_2(k) - 1.$$

In this paper, we concern on the 2-adic valuation of the Stirling numbers of the second kind. We provide detailed analysis to the k -level of the Stirling numbers of the second kind of order 5 where $5 \leq k \leq 8$ (Note that this analysis was given in [1] when $k = 4$). Using this we then show that $v_2(S(4i, 5)) \neq v_2(S(4i + 3, 5))$ if and only if $i \in \{32j + 7 : j \geq 1\}$. This proves that Conjecture 1.1 is true. We show also that $v_2(S(2^n + 1, k + 1)) = s_2(k) - 1$ for any positive integer n , where $s_2(n)$ means the sum of binary digits of n . This confirms the above Conjecture 1.2.

Throughout the paper, we will use several elementary properties of $S(n, k)$, listed below:

$$(1.1) \quad S(n, k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} j^n.$$

The generating function

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-2x)\dots(1-kx)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S(n, k)x^n.$$

The recurrence

$$(1.3) \quad S(n, k) = S(n-1, k-1) + kS(n-1, k).$$

2. PROOF OF CONJECTURE 1

In the present section, we show the truth of Conjecture 1.1. We begin with the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $N \geq 2$ be an integer and r, i be odd numbers. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$(2.1) \quad v_2((2^N r \pm 1)^{2^m i} - 1) = m + N.$$

In particular, we have $v_2(3^{2^m} - 1) = v_2(5^{2^m} - 1) = m + 2$.

Proof. First we have

$$(2.2) \quad (2^N r \pm 1)^{2^m i} - 1 = \pm 2^{m+N} \cdot r \cdot i + \sum_{j=2}^{2^m i} (\pm 1)^j \cdot (2^N r)^j \cdot \binom{2^m i}{j}.$$

On the other hand, for any integer $2 \leq j \leq 2^m i$, since $N \geq 2$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad v_2((2^N r)^j \cdot \binom{2^m i}{j}) \geq Nj + m - v_2(j!) \geq Nj + m - j + 1 \geq m + N + 1.$$

Then it follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that (2.1) is true. $\square$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $0 \leq j < 2^m$, we define

$$(2.4) \quad C_{m,j} := \{2^m i + j : 2^m i + j \geq k \text{ and } i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad v_2(C_{m,j}) := \{v_2(S(2^m i + j, k)) : 2^m i + j \geq k \text{ and } i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Evidently, the classes $C_{m,j}$ form a partition of $\mathbb{N}$ into classes modulo 2^m and each class $C_{m,j}$ splits into exactly two classes modulo 2^{m+1} : $C_{m+1,j}, C_{m+1,j+2^m}$. The class $C_{m,j}$ is called *constant* if $v_2(C_{m,j})$ consists of a single value. This single value is called constant of the class $C_{m,j}$. Otherwise the class $C_{m,j}$ is called *non-constant*. We can now define inductively *m-level*. The *1-level* consists of two classes:

$$C_{1,0} = \{2i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ and } C_{1,1} = \{2i + 1 : i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Assume that for $m \geq 2$, the $(m-1)$ -level has been defined and that it consists of the e classes

$$C_{m-1,i_1}, \dots, C_{m-1,i_e}, \text{ where } 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_e.$$

Each class C_{m-1,i_j} splits into two classes modulo 2^m , i.e. C_{m,i_j} and C_{m,i_j+2^m} . Then the *m-level* is formed by the nonconstant classes modulo 2^m .

LEMMA 2.2. [1] *Each of the following is true:*

- (i). $v_2(C_{4,4}) = v_2(C_{4,7}) = 2$.
- (ii). $v_2(C_{4,12}) > 2$ and $v_2(C_{4,15}) > 2$.
- (iii). *The 4-level of $S(n, 5)$ is $\{C_{4,12}, C_{4,15}\}$.*

LEMMA 2.3. *Each of the following is true:*

- (i). $v_2(C_{5,12}) = v_2(C_{5,15}) = 3$.
- (ii). $v_2(C_{5,28}) > 3$ and $v_2(C_{5,31}) > 3$.
- (iii). *The 5-level of $S(n, 5)$ is $\{C_{5,28}, C_{5,31}\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we know that the 4-level of $S(n, 5)$ is $\{C_{4,12}, C_{4,15}\}$ and $v_2(C_{4,12}) > 2$ and $v_2(C_{4,15}) > 2$. It is clear that $C_{4,12} = C_{5,12} \sqcup C_{5,28}$ and $C_{4,15} = C_{5,15} \sqcup C_{5,31}$. Then we only need to consider the four classes: $C_{5,12}, C_{5,28}, C_{5,15}, C_{5,31}$. By (1.1), we know that the Stirling number $S(n, 5)$ is given by

$$(2.6) \quad S(n, 5) = \frac{1}{24}(5^{n-1} - 4^n + 2 \cdot 3^n - 2^{n+1} + 1).$$

First we prove that (i) holds. By Lemma 2.1 we have $5^{2^5} \equiv 3^{2^5} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^7}$. It then follows from (1.1) that for any nonnegative integers r and t , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
24S(2^5t + 12, 5) &= 5^{2^5t+11} - 4^{2^5t+12} + 2 \cdot 3^{2^5t+12} - 2^{2^5t+13} + 1 \\
&\equiv 5^{11} + 2 \cdot 3^{12} + 1 \\
(2.7) \quad &\equiv 2^6 \pmod{2^7}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
24S(2^5r + 15, 5) &= 5^{2^5r+14} - 4^{2^5r+15} + 2 \cdot 3^{2^5r+15} - 2^{2^5r+16} + 1 \\
&\equiv 5^{14} + 2 \cdot 3^{15} + 1 \\
(2.8) \quad &\equiv 2^6 \pmod{2^7}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$S(2^5t + 12, 5) \equiv 8 \pmod{2^4}$$

and

$$S(2^5r + 15, 5) \equiv 8 \pmod{2^4}.$$

These imply that $v_2(C_{5,12}) = 3$ and $v_2(C_{5,15}) = 3$. Part (i) is proved.

Now we consider $C_{5,28}, C_{5,31}$. By (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
24S(2^5t_1 + 28, 5) - 24S(2^5t_2 + 12, 5) \\
&\equiv 5^{2^7} + 2 \cdot 3^{2^8} - (5^{11} + 2 \cdot 3^{12}) \\
&\equiv 5^{11}(5^{2^4} - 1) + 2 \cdot 3^{12}(3^{2^4} - 1) \\
&\equiv 5^{11}2^6\alpha_1 + 2 \cdot 3^{12}2^6\alpha_2 \\
(2.9) \quad &\equiv 2^6 \pmod{2^7},
\end{aligned}$$

where $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in 1 + 2\mathbb{N}$. By (2.7) and (2.9) we get

$$24S(2^5t_1 + 28, 5) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^7}.$$

Clearly $v_2(S(2^5t_1 + 28, 5)) > 3$, i.e., $v_2(C_{5,28}) > 3$. Similarly we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
24S(2^5r_1 + 31, 5) - 24S(2^5r_2 + 15, 5) \\
&\equiv 5^{30} + 2 \cdot 3^{31} - (5^{14} + 2 \cdot 3^{15}) \\
&\equiv 5^{14}(5^{2^4} - 1) + 2 \cdot 3^{15}(3^{2^4} - 1) \\
&\equiv 5^{14}2^6\alpha_3 + 2 \cdot 3^{15}2^6\alpha_4 \\
(2.10) \quad &\equiv 2^6 \pmod{2^7},
\end{aligned}$$

where $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in 1 + 2\mathbb{N}$. Then by (2.8) and (2.10) we obtain that

$$24S(2^5r_2 + 31, 5) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^7}.$$

Hence $v_2(C_{5,31}) > 3$. Part (ii) is proved.

It is easy to check that $v_2(S(28, 5)) = 6$, $v_2(S(60, 5)) = 4$, $v_2(S(31, 5)) = 7$, $v_2(S(61, 5)) = 4$. Since $28, 60 \in C_{5,28}$ and $31, 63 \in C_{5,31}$, $C_{5,28}$ and $C_{5,31}$ are non-constant classes. The proof of Lemma 2.3 is complete. $\square$

LEMMA 2.4. *Each of the following is true:*

- (i). $v_2(C_{6,60}) = v_2(C_{6,63}) = 4$.
- (ii). $v_2(C_{6,28}) > 4$ and $v_2(C_{6,31}) > 4$.
- (iii). *The 6-level of $S(n, 5)$ is $\{C_{6,28}, C_{6,31}\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, we have 5-level of $S(n, 5)$ is $\{C_{5,28}, C_{5,31}\}$ and $v_2(C_{5,28}) > 3$ and $v_2(C_{5,31}) > 3$. It is easy to see that $C_{5,28} = C_{6,28} \sqcup C_{6,30}$ and $C_{5,31} = C_{6,31} \sqcup C_{6,63}$. So it remains to deal with $C_{6,28}, C_{6,60}, C_{6,31}, C_{6,63}$. First we consider $C_{6,28}$ and $C_{6,30}$. By Lemma 2.1 we have $5^{2^6} \equiv 3^{2^6} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^8}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 24S(2^6t + 60, 5) &= 5^{2^6t+59} - 4^{2^6t+60} + 2 \cdot 3^{2^6t+60} - 2^{2^6t+61} + 1 \\ &\equiv 5^{59} + 2 \cdot 3^{60} + 1 \pmod{2^8}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 3^4 5^5 24S(2^6t + 60, 5) &\equiv 5^{2^6} 3^4 + 2 \cdot 3^{2^6} 5^5 + 3^4 5^5 \\ &\equiv 3^4 + 2 \cdot 5^5 + 3^4 5^5 \\ &\equiv 2^7 \pmod{2^8}. \end{aligned}$$

So $v_2(S(2^6t + 60, 5)) = 4$. This implies that $v_2(C_{6,60}) = 4$. Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} 24S(2^6t + 28, 5) &= 5^{2^6t+27} - 4^{2^6t+28} + 2 \cdot 3^{2^6t+28} - 2^{2^6t+29} + 1 \\ &\equiv 5^{27} + 2 \cdot 3^{28} + 1 \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{2^8}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $v_2(S(2^6t + 28, 5)) > 4$. That is $v_2(C_{6,28}) > 4$. We can compute that $v_2(S(28, 5)) = 6$ and $v_2(S(92, 5)) = 5$. So $C_{6,28}$ is non-constant. In the same way, we obtain that $v_2(C_{6,63}) = 4$, $v_2(C_{6,31}) > 4$ and $C_{6,31}$ is non-constant. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.4. $\square$

LEMMA 2.5. *Each of the following is true:*

- (i). $v_2(C_{7,92}) = v_2(C_{7,95}) = 5$.
- (ii). $v_2(C_{7,28}) > 5$ and $v_2(C_{7,31}) > 5$.
- (iii). *The 7-level of $S(n, 5)$ is $\{C_{7,28}, C_{7,31}\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, we get 6-level of $S(n, 5)$ is $\{C_{6,28}, C_{6,31}\}$ and $v_2(C_{6,28}) > 4$ and $v_2(C_{6,31}) > 4$. Clearly $C_{6,28} = C_{7,28} \sqcup C_{7,92}$ and $C_{6,31} = C_{7,31} \sqcup C_{6,95}$. First we consider $C_{7,28}$ and $C_{7,92}$. Since $5^{2^7} \equiv 3^{2^7} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^9}$ by Lemma 2.1, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 24S(2^7t + 92, 5) &= 5^{2^7t+91} - 4^{2^7t+91} + 2 \cdot 3^{2^7t+92} - 2^{2^7t+93} + 1 \\ &\equiv 5^{91} + 2 \cdot 3^{92} + 1 \\ &\equiv 2^8 \pmod{2^9}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $v_2(C_{7,92}) = 5$. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} 24S(2^7t + 28, 5) &= 5^{2^7t+27} - 4^{2^7t+28} + 2 \cdot 3^{2^7t+28} - 2^{2^7t+29} + 1 \\ &\equiv 5^{27} + 2 \cdot 3^{28} + 1 \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{2^9}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $v_2(C_{7,28}) > 5$. Since $v_2(S(28, 5)) = 6$ and $v_2(S(156, 5)) = 11$, $C_{7,28}$ is non-constant. In the same way, we obtain that $v_2(C_{7,95}) = 5$, $v_2(C_{7,31}) > 5$ and $C_{7,31}$ is a non-constant class. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.5. $\square$

LEMMA 2.6. *Each of the following is true:*

- (i). $v_2(C_{8,28}) = v_2(C_{8,159}) = 6$.
- (ii). $v_2(C_{8,156}) > 6$ and $v_2(C_{8,31}) > 6$.

(iii). The 8-level of $S(n, 5)$ is $\{C_{8,156}, C_{8,31}\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, we have 7-level of $S(n, 5)$ is $\{C_{7,28}, C_{7,31}\}$, $v_2(C_{7,28}) > 5$ and $v_2(C_{7,31}) > 5$. Clearly $C_{7,28} = C_{8,28} \sqcup C_{8,156}$ and $C_{7,31} = C_{8,31} \sqcup C_{8,159}$. Now we consider $C_{8,28}$ and $C_{8,156}$. Since $5^{2^8} \equiv 3^{2^8} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{10}}$ by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 24S(2^8t + 28, 5) &= 5^{2^8t+27} - 4^{2^8t+28} + 2 \cdot 3^{2^8t+28} - 2^{2^8t+29} + 1 \\ &\equiv 5^{2^7} + 2 \cdot 3^{2^8} + 1 \\ &\equiv 2^9 \pmod{2^{10}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $v_2(C_{8,28}) = 6$. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} 24S(2^8t + 156, 5) &= 5^{2^8t+155} - 4^{2^8t+156} + 2 \cdot 3^{2^8t+156} - 2^{2^8t+157} + 1 \\ &\equiv 5^{155} + 2 \cdot 3^{156} + 1 \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{10}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $v_2(C_{8,28}) > 6$. Since $v_2(S(156, 5)) = 11$ and $v_2(S(412, 5)) = 7$, $C_{8,156}$ is a non-constant class. In the same way, we get $v_2(C_{8,159}) = 6$, $v_2(C_{8,31}) > 6$ and $C_{8,31}$ is a non-constant class. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6. $\square$

We can now show that Conjecture 1.1 is true.

THEOREM 2.7. *Let $q_n = v_2(S(n, 5))$. Then $q_{4i} \neq q_{4i+3}$ if and only if $i \in \{32j + 7 : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$.*

Proof. First let $i \in \{32j + 7 : j \geq 0\}$. Then $4i = 2^7j + 28 \in C_{7,28}$ and $4i + 3 = 2^7j + 31 \in C_{7,31}$ for some nonnegative integer j . Clearly $C_{7,28} = C_{8,28} \sqcup C_{8,156}$ and $C_{7,31} = C_{8,31} \sqcup C_{8,159}$. But by the Lemma 2.6 (i) and (ii), we have $v_2(C_{8,156}) > 6$, $v_2(C_{8,31}) > 6$ and $v_2(C_{8,28}) = v_2(C_{8,159}) = 6$. Then we obtain that

$$v_2(S(2^8t + 28, 5)) \neq v_2(S(2^8t + 31, 5))$$

and

$$v_2(S(2^8t + 156, 5)) \neq v_2(S(2^8t + 159, 5))$$

for any integer $t \geq 0$. This implies that

$$q_{4i} = v_2(S(2^7j + 28, 5)) \neq v_2(S(2^7j + 31, 5)) = q_{4i+3}.$$

Now we give a partition of $\{4i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} = C_{2,0}$:

$$C_{3,0}, C_{4,4}, C_{5,12}, C_{6,60}, C_{7,92}, C_{7,28}.$$

We also get a partition of $\{4i + 3 : i \in \mathbb{N}\} = C_{2,3}$:

$$C_{3,3}, C_{4,7}, C_{5,15}, C_{6,63}, C_{7,95}, C_{7,31}.$$

By Lemmas 2.2-2.6, we have $v_2(C_{3,0}) = v_2(C_{3,3}) = 1$, $v_2(C_{4,4}) = v_2(C_{4,7}) = 2$, $v_2(C_{5,12}) = v_2(C_{5,15}) = 3$, $v_2(C_{6,60}) = v_2(C_{6,63}) = 4$ and $v_2(C_{7,92}) = v_2(C_{7,95}) = 5$. Then $q_{4i} = q_{4i+3}$ except that $i \in \{32j + 7 : j \geq 0\}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.7. $\square$

By Theorem 2.7, we know immediately that $v_2(S(4i, 5)) = v_2(S(4i + 3, 5))$ if and only if $i \not\equiv 7 \pmod{32}$.

3. THE 2-ADIC VALUATIONS OF $S(2^n + 1, k + 1)$ AND $S(2^n + 2, k + 2)$

In this section, we investigate the 2-adic valuations of $S(2^n + 1, k + 1)$ and $S(2^n + 2, k + 2)$. In 2005, Wannemacker computed the 2-adic valuation of $S(2^n, k)$ as follows.

LEMMA 3.1. [7] *For all k and $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$, we have*

$$(3.1) \quad v_2(S(2^n, k)) = s_2(k) - 1.$$

First we calculate the 2-adic valuation of $S(2^n + 1, k + 1)$. For this purpose, we define $u(k)$ as follows: If $k = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i 2^i$, where $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$, then $u(k) := 0$ if $a_0 = 0$, and $u(k) := t + 1$ if $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_t = 1$ and $a_{t+1} = 0$. That is, $u(k)$ is the first index i such that $a_i = 0$.

THEOREM 3.2. *For all k and $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$, we have*

$$v_2(S(2^n + 1, k + 1)) = s_2(k) - 1.$$

Proof. Let $k = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i 2^i$, where $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Then $s_2(k) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i$. We claim that

$$(3.2) \quad s_2(k + 1) = s_2(k) + 1 - u(k).$$

To show the assertion, we consider the following two cases:

CASE 1. If $u(k) = 0$, then $a_0 = 0$. So $k = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i 2^i$. It then follows that $k + 1 = 1 + a_1 2 + a_2 2^2 + \dots + a_n 2^n$. Hence

$$s_2(k + 1) = s_2(k) + 1$$

as claimed.

CASE 2. If $u(k) \geq 1$, then $a_0 = 1$. We may write $k = 1 + 2 + \dots + 2^{u(k)-1} + a_{u(k)+1} 2^{u(k)+1} + \dots + a_n 2^n$ and $k + 1 = 2^{u(k)} + a_{u(k)+1} 2^{u(k)+1} + \dots + a_n 2^n$. Thus $s_2(k) = u(k) + \sum_{i=u(k)+1}^n a_i$ and $s_2(k + 1) = 1 + \sum_{i=u(k)+1}^n a_i$. Then $s_2(k + 1) = s_2(k) + 1 - u(k)$. The claim is proved.

By (1.3) and Lemma 3.1 we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} S(2^n + 1, k + 1) &= S(2^n, k) + (k + 1)S(2^n, k + 1) \\ &= 2^{s_2(k)-1} \beta_1 + (k + 1)2^{s_2(k+1)-1} \beta_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in 1 + 2\mathbb{N}$.

If $2 \mid k$, then $u(k) = 0$. Then by the claim (3.2) and (3.3) we get

$$S(2^n + 1, k + 1) = 2^{s_2(k)-1} \beta_1 + (k + 1)2^{s_2(k)} \beta_2.$$

It follows immediately that

$$v_2(S(2^n + 1, k + 1)) = s_2(k) - 1$$

as desired.

If $2 \nmid k$, then $u(k) \geq 1$. By the claim and (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(2^n + 1, k + 1) &= 2^{s_2(k)-1} \beta_1 + 2^{u(k)} \beta \cdot 2^{s_2(k)-u(k)} \beta_2 \\ &= 2^{s_2(k)-1} \beta_1 + 2^{s_2(k)} \beta \cdot \beta_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $k + 1 = 2^{u(k)} \beta$ and $\beta \in 1 + 2\mathbb{N}$. In this case we also have

$$v_2(S(2^n + 1, k + 1)) = s_2(k) - 1$$

as required. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. $\square$

By Theorem 3.2, we know that Conjecture 1.2 is true. Finally, we calculate the 2-adic valuation of $S(2^n + 2, k + 2)$.

THEOREM 3.3 *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$.*

- (i). *If $u(k) = 0$, then $v_2(S(2^n + 2, k + 2)) = s_2(k) - 1$.*
- (ii). *If $u(k) = 1$, then $v_2(S(2^n + 2, k + 2)) \geq s_2(k)$.*
- (iii). *If $u(k) \geq 2$, then $v_2(S(2^n + 2, k + 2)) = s_2(k) - u(k)$.*

Proof. By (1.3) we have

$$(3.4) \quad S(2^n + 2, k + 2) = S(2^n + 1, k + 1) + (k + 2)S(2^n + 1, k + 2).$$

By Theorem 3.2 we get

$$(3.5) \quad v_2(S(2^n + 1, k + 1)) = s_2(k) - 1$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad v_2((k + 2)S(2^n + 1, k + 2)) = s_2(k + 1) - 1 + v_2(k + 2).$$

If $u(k) = 0$, then $a_0 = 0$. By (3.2) we get $s_2(k + 1) - 1 = s_2(k)$. Thus by (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) we deduce that part (i) is true.

If $u(k) \geq 1$, then $a_0 = 1$ and $v(k + 2) = 0$. Then by the claim and (3.6) we have

$$(3.7) \quad v_2((k + 2)S(2^n + 1, k + 2)) = s_2(k) - u(k).$$

Hence by (3.4), (3.5) and (3.7) we know that parts (ii) and (iii) are true. The proof of Theorem 3.3 is complete. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Amdeberhan, D. Manna and V. Moll, *The 2-adic valuation of Stirling numbers*, Experimental Math. **17** (2008), 69-82.
- [2] T. Amdeberhan, L. Medina and V. Moll, *Asymptotic valuations of sequences satisfying first order recurrences*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), 885-890.
- [3] H. W. Becker and J. Riordan, *The arithmetics of Bell and Stirling numbers*, Amer. J. Math. **170** (1948), 385-394.
- [4] G. Boros, V. Moll and J. Shallit, *The 2-adic valuation of the coefficients of a polynomial*, Scientia **7** (2001), 37-50.
- [5] D.M. Davis, *Divisibility by 2 of Stirling-like numbers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), 597-600.
- [6] T. Lengyel, *On the divisibility by 2 of Stirling numbers of the second kind*, Fib. Quart. **31** (1993), 427-440.
- [7] S. De Wannemacker, *On the 2-adic orders of Stirling numbers of the second kind*, Integers **5** (1) (2005), #A21.
- [8] S. De Wannemacker, *Annihilating polynomials for quadratic forms and Stirling numbers of the second kind*, Math. Nachr. **280** (2007), 1257-1267.

MATHEMATICAL COLLEGE, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610064, P.R. CHINA
E-mail address: sfhong@scu.edu.cn; s-f.hong@tom.com; hongsf02@yahoo.com

SCHOOL OF ECONOMIC MATHEMATICS, SOUTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY OF FINANCE AND ECONOMICS, CHENGDU 610074, P.R. CHINA
E-mail address: jr-zhao@tom.com

MATHEMATICAL COLLEGE, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610064, P.R. CHINA
E-mail address: zhaowei9801@tom.com